



About THE FEDERAL EVIDENCE REVIEW

The FEDERAL EVIDENCE REVIEW highlights recent federal evidence cases and developments. The REVIEW is a monthly legal journal distributed online in a PDF format with links to many of the cases covered. The REVIEW is available online at:

www.FederalEvidence.com

The FEDERAL EVIDENCE REVIEW:

- Monitors current federal evidence developments, cases and trends
- Serves as a key litigation aid and reference source for practitioners at all litigation stages
- Provides a forum for federal litigators, jurists, academia, and other practitioners and students of evidence
- Promotes ideas and stimulates discussion of key evidence issues

FEDERAL EVIDENCE REVIEW Coverage

Cases Covered This Issue:

- Reviewed 22
- Cited 210+
- Case Comments 37
- Practice Points 9

Covered Since Vol. 1, No. 1:

- Cases reviewed 1194
- Cases cited 10,100+

Subscription information available on the next page & at www.FederalEvidence.com



HIGHLIGHTING CASES DECIDED IN NOVEMBER 2007

In Focus

Lead Story: Steps For Avoiding Potential Prejudice, Confusion And Other Problems In Using Law Enforcement Dual Fact and Expert Witnesses (p. 1752)

Practice Tip: FRE 801(d)(2)(C) And Alternative Grounds To Admit Statements Of An Authorized Party (p. 1764)

Developing Consensus: Sixth Circuit Joins Six Others Holding That Co-Conspirator Statements Under FRE 801(d)(2)(E) Are Not Testimonial Under *Crawford* (p. 1767)

Remainder of Related Writings: The rule of completeness did not allow the defendant to require the admission of the redacted exculpatory portion of the defendant’s confession because it did not explain or place in context the admitted portion – 2d Cir. (p. 1781)

Unduly Time Consuming: Exclusion of a chart and testimony contrasting the defendant’s net profits with the cooperating co-conspirator’s profits was properly excluded as unduly time consuming and cumulative – 11th Cir. (p. 1797)

State Secret: The government successfully asserted the state secrets privilege and barred access to a sealed document concerning warrantless surveillance program – 9th Cir. (p. 1805)

Contents (p. 1740)

Evidence Case Docket (p. 1743)

Table Of Cases (p. 1838)

Subscriptions

Available online at:

www.FederalEvidence.com/subscribe

Comments / Suggestions / Contact

If you have ideas for future features or columns, or any other suggestions or comments on the FEDERAL EVIDENCE REVIEW, your views and ideas are welcome and encouraged at: www.FederalEvidence.com/contact

Disclaimer

The FEDERAL EVIDENCE REVIEW presents information and opinions as an informational and educational service to subscribers. The content should not be relied upon as legal advice and is not intended to create an attorney-client relationship. For more information, please review the disclaimer at:

www.FederalEvidence.com/terms_of_use.html

How to Cite the REVIEW

Material from the FEDERAL EVIDENCE REVIEW should be cited:

[Volume] FED. EVID. REV. [page number]
(Month Year)

Thus, 4 FED. EVID. REV. 40 (Jan. 2007) indicates volume three of the FEDERAL EVIDENCE REVIEW at page 40.

Page numbers in any volume of the REVIEW are consecutive from the first issue of that volume.

FEDERAL EVIDENCE REVIEW

(ISSN: 1556-5645)

Volume 4, Number 12 (November 2007)

Published monthly by FederalEvidence.com

P.O. Box 6883

Arlington, VA 22206

Fax: (571) 312-5642

Web: www.FederalEvidence.com/contact

Copyright © 2007 FederalEvidence.com

All Rights Reserved.

Subscribe Now To The FEDERAL EVIDENCE REVIEW To:

❖ Receive a monthly journal delivered via the Internet in PDF format providing summaries, insight, and analysis on the evidence cases of the previous month

❖ Utilize the REVIEW's special features, including *Lead Story*, *Practice Tip*, *Circuit Splits*, *Amendment Updates*, and more, which provide up-to-date tracking of recent evidence developments and trends

❖ Maintain your advantage on evidence law by making it easier to use recent evidence cases in your practice.

All of this and more is available to individual subscribers for the special introductory rate \$995 a year (12 issues). Special rates for multiple subscribers or organizational subscribers are available by contacting the REVIEW at: www.FederalEvidence.com/contact

To subscribe, complete this form and return to:

FEDERAL EVIDENCE REVIEW Subscriptions

P.O. Box 6883

Arlington, VA 22206

Fax: (571) 312-5642

First Name: _____

Last Name: _____

Email (required): _____

Organization: _____

Address: _____

City, State, Zip: _____

Telephone: _____

Check for \$995 payable to *FederalEvidence.com*

Or bill me by sending invoice (Subscription starts upon receipt of payment)

Money-back Guarantee: As part of our unconditional guarantee, if you are dissatisfied with the REVIEW (for any reason), cancel the subscription in writing within 30 days of your purchase and we will provide a full refund upon receipt of your written refund request.



Contents

Subscribe.....	1739
Lead Story: Steps For Avoiding Potential Prejudice, Confusion And Other Problems In Using Law Enforcement Dual Fact and Expert Witnesses	1752
Practice Tip: FRE 801(d)(2)(C) And Alternative Grounds To Admit Statements Of An Authorized Party.....	1764
Developing Consensus: Seventh Circuit Joins Six Other Circuits Holding That FRE 801(D)(2)(E) Co-Conspirator Statements Are Not Testimonial Under Crawford.....	1767
Developing Consensus: Sixth Circuit Approves A Joint Trial Procedure To Admit A Co-Defendant's Incriminating Statements Without Violating Other Defendants' Confrontation Rights	1769
Developing Consensus: Ninth Circuit Allows Foreign Document Authentications By Other Than Official Government Certification In Asylum Proceedings Under 8 C.F.R. § 287.6.....	1772
Proposed Amendments To The Federal Rules Of Evidence.....	1775
Evidence Cases Reviewed.....	1776
Fifth Amendment (Right To A Fair Trial).....	1777
<i>United States v. Rommy</i> (2d Cir.)	1777
Sixth Amendment (Confrontation Clause).....	1779
<i>United States v. Hargrove</i> (7th Cir.).....	1779
<i>United States v. Rommy</i> (2d Cir.)	1780
<i>United States v. Rodriguez-Duran</i> (1st Cir.)	1780
<i>United States v. Vasilakos</i> (6th Cir.).....	1783
<i>Hodges v. Attorney General, State of Florida</i> (11th. Cir.)	1783
Rule 103(a) (Effect Of Erroneous Ruling: Harmless Error).....	1787
<i>United States v. Rodriguez-Duran</i> (1st Cir.)	1787
<i>Bias v. Moynihan</i> (9th Cir.)	1788
<i>Navigant Consulting, Inc. v. Wilkinson</i> (5th Cir.)	1788
<i>United States v. Bercier</i> (8th Cir.)	1790
Rule 106 (Remainder of Related Writings)	1791
<i>United States v. Johnson</i> (2d Cir.).....	1791
Rule 201 (Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Fact).....	1793
<i>Bias v. Moynihan</i> (9th Cir.)	1793



Contents

Rule 401 (Definition of “Relevant Evidence”)	1795
<i>United States v. Montano</i> (8th Cir.).....	1795
<i>United States v. Weaver</i> (3d Cir.)	1796
<i>United States v. Vasilakos</i> (6th Cir.).....	1796
Rule 403 (Exclusion of Relevant Evidence on Grounds of Prejudice, Confusion or Waste of Time)	1797
<i>United States v. Dohan</i> (11th Cir.)	1797
<i>Harris v. Chand</i> (8th Cir.)	1798
<i>United States v. Montano</i> (8th Cir.).....	1799
Rule 404(b) (Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts)	1801
<i>United States v. Shellef</i> (2d Cir.)	1801
Rule 408(a) (Compromise and Offers to Compromise)	1803
<i>Scenic Holding, LLC v. New Board of Trustees of Tabernacle Missionary Baptist Church, Inc.</i> (8th Cir.)	1803
Rule 501 (Privileges – State Secret)	1805
<i>Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc. v. Bush</i> (9th Cir.).....	1805
Rule 606(b) (Inquiry into Validity of Verdict)	1808
<i>United States v. Wright</i> (10th Cir.).....	1808
Rule 610 (Religious Beliefs or Opinions)	1809
<i>United States v. Dohan</i> (11th Cir.)	1809
Rule 702 (Testimony by Experts)	1811
<i>Menz v. New Holland North America, Inc.</i> (8th Cir.).....	1811
<i>Hathaway v. Bazany</i> (5th Cir.)	1813
<i>United States v. Sanchez-Hernandez</i> (5th Cir.).....	1814
801(c) (Definitions - Hearsay)	1817
<i>Bennett v. Saint-Gobain Corp.</i> (1st Cir.).....	1817
801(d)(1)(A) (Prior Inconsistent Statements)	1820
<i>Bennett v. Saint-Gobain Corp.</i> (1st Cir.).....	1820
Rule 801(d)(1)(B) (Prior Consistent Statements)	1821
<i>United States v. Bercier</i> (8th Cir.)	1821
Rule 801(d)(2)(A) (Party’s Admission)	1821
<i>United States v. Vasilakos</i> (6th Cir.).....	1821

Rule 801(d)(2)(E) (Co-Conspirator Statement).....1823
United States v. Hargrove (7th Cir.)..... 1823
United States v. Weaver (3d Cir.) 1824

Rule 803(2) (Excited Utterances).....1827
United States v. Bercier (8th Cir.) 1827

Rule 803(4) (Statement for Purpose of Medical Treatment).....1827
United States v. Bercier (8th Cir.) 1828

Rule 803(5) (Past Recollection Recorded).....1831
United States v. Rommy (2d Cir.) 1831

Rule 901 (Authentication and Identification).....1833
United States v. Rommy (2d Cir.) 1833
Vatyan v. Mukasey (9th Cir.) 1835
Bias v. Moynihan (9th Cir.) 1836

Rule 1002 (Requirement of Original (Best Evidence Rule))1837
Navigant Consulting, Inc. v. Wilkinson (5th Cir.) 1837

Table of Cases1838



Lead Story: Steps For Avoiding Potential Prejudice, Confusion And Other Problems In Using Law Enforcement Dual Fact and Expert Witnesses

A witness can provide both lay and expert testimony. Special concerns may arise when a law enforcement witness testifies as both a fact and expert witness. At least seven concerns may apply to this dual testimony, including (a) the lay testimony of the law enforcement witness may be afforded “unmerited credibility” based on the expert testimony; (b) there are heightened risks of prejudice; (c) the potential for jury confusion may be elevated; (d) the witness may stray from the scope of expertise; (e) the witness may rely on inadmissible hearsay and raise a potential Confrontation Clause issue; (f) the dual role may inhibit cross-examination; and (g) the testimony may usurp the role of the jury. This Lead Story reviews these challenges to dual fact and expert testimony by law enforcement witnesses and identifies specific steps to mitigate these potential problems.



help the jury or the court to understand the facts about which the witness is testifying and not to provide specialized explanations or interpretations that an untrained layman could not make if perceiving the same acts or events.”; “What is essentially expert testimony ... may not be admitted under the guise of lay opinions.”).

In illustrating this point, the Advisory Committee noted the case of *State v.*

Brown, in which the court recognized that a lay witness may testify that a substance seemed to be blood, but could not testify, unless previously qualified as an expert, that bruises around the eyes of a victim appeared to be the result of trauma. 836 S.W. 2d 530, 549 (Tenn. 1992) (cited in FRE 701 ACN (2000 Amendment)).

Special and unique concerns arise when a law enforcement official testifies as both a fact witness regarding the investigation and an expert witness, providing an opinion on the meaning or understanding of certain facts in the case. An agent may be involved in a long-term investigation. At trial, the agent may be called to testify about the facts in the investigation and also as an expert to assist the jury in an area involving specialized knowledge. Under direct and cross-examination, the witness may blend expert opinion with facts of the case. It may become difficult for the jury to separate or follow which portion of the testimony is lay and expert opinion. The witness may also rely on inadmissible hearsay or exceed the scope of the expert testimony.

The potential problems created by this dual testimony do not surface when there are no cross-over issues and the witness exclusively provides either fact or expert testimony. See, e.g., *United States v. Abboud*, 438 F.3d 554, 589 (6th Cir. 2006) (rejecting challenge to testimony as dual fact and expert witness where the witness was an expert witness and not a fact witness; “he was not the investigating agent and he had no role in gathering evidence”); *United States v. Solis*, 923 F.3d 548, 551 (7th Cir. 1991) (rejecting challenge where witness testified as an expert and not as a fact witness).

I. Overview

As a general matter, the same witness can give both lay and expert testimony. The Federal Rules of Evidence permit this dual testimony. See, e.g., FRE 701 ACN (2000 Amendment) (noting “it is possible for the same witness to provide both lay and expert testimony in a single case”). In fact, admissibility is not determined by the status of a witness as an expert or lay witness but by the testimony. See, e.g., FRE 701 ACN (2000 Amendment) (after 2000 Amendment, FRE 701 “does not distinguish between expert and lay witnesses, but rather between expert and lay testimony”) (citing *United States v. Figueroa-Lopez*, 125 F.3d 1241, 1246 (9th Cir. 1997) (law enforcement agents could testify that the defendant was acting suspiciously, without being qualified as experts; however, the rules on experts were applicable where the agents testified on the basis of extensive experience that the defendant was using code words to refer to drug quantities and prices)); see also *United States v. Peoples*, 250 F.3d 630, 639 (8th Cir. 2001) (“Lay opinion testimony is admissible only to



Table of Cases

A

<i>Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc. v. Bush</i> , 507 F.3d 1190 (9th Cir. Nov. 16, 2007)	1805–1808
<i>Allen v. Minnstar, Inc.</i> , 8 F.3d 1470, 1475 (10th Cir. 1993)	1794
<i>Allison v. McGhan Med. Corp.</i> , 184 F.3d 1300, 1314-16 (11th Cir. 1999)	1812
<i>AMPAT/Midwest, Inc. v. Illinois Tool Works, Inc.</i> , 896 F.2d 1035, 1045 (7th Cir. 1990)	1814
<i>Anderson ex rel. Dowd v. City of Boston</i> , 375 F.3d 71, 91 (1st Cir. 2004)	1819

B

<i>Ballen v. City of Redmond</i> , 466 F.3d 736, 745 (9th Cir. 2006)	1794, 1837
<i>Bank of the West v. Commercial Credit Financial Services</i> , 852 F.2d 1162, 1165 n.2 (9th Cir. 1988)	1789
<i>Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey</i> , 488 U.S. 153, 172 (1988)	1792
<i>Bennett v. Medtronic, Inc.</i> , 285 F.3d 801, 803 n.2 (9th Cir. 2002)	1794
<i>Bennett v. Saint-Gobain Corp.</i> , 507 F.3d 23 (1st Cir. Nov. 2, 2007)	1817–1820, 1820
<i>Bias v. Moynihan</i> , ___ F.3d ___ (9th Cir. November 29, 2007)	1788, 1793–1795, 1836–1837
<i>Blackburn v. United Parcel Service, Inc.</i> , 179 F.3d 81, 95 (3d Cir. 1999)	1818
<i>Blue Dane Simmental Corp. v. Am. Simmental Ass'n</i> , 178 F.3d 1035, 1039-41 (8th Cir. 1999)	1812
<i>Brecht v. Abrahamson</i> , 507 U.S. 619, 113 S. Ct. 1710 (1993)	1784, 1786
<i>Brocklesby v. United States</i> , 767 F.2d 1288, 1293 (9th Cir. 1985), <i>cert. denied</i> , 474 U.S. 1101 (1986)	1802
<i>Bruton v. United States</i> , 391 U.S. 123, 135-36 (1968)	1770

C

<i>Cao He Lin v. U.S. Dep't of Justice</i> , 428 F.3d 391, 404-05 (2d Cir. 2005)	1774
<i>Chandler v. Moore</i> , 240 F.3d 907, 918 (11th Cir. 2001)	1784
<i>Cloutier v. Costco Wholesale Corp.</i> , 390 F.3d 126, 137 (1st Cir. 2004)	1818
<i>Collins v. Wayne Corp.</i> , 621 F.2d 777, 782 (5th Cir. 1980)	1766
<i>Cooper v. Carl A. Nelson & Co.</i> , 211 F.3d 1008, 1019-21 (7th Cir. 2000)	1812
<i>Crawford v. Washington</i> , 541 U.S. 36, 62 (2004)	1757, 1767, 1784, 1823

D

<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> , 509 U.S. 579, 595 (1993)	1757
<i>Dávila v. Corporación de Puerto Rico para la Difusión Pública</i> , 498 F.3d 9, 17 (1st Cir. 2007)	1818
<i>Davis v. Washington</i> , 547 U.S. ___, ___, 126 S.Ct. 2266, 2280 (2006)	1767, 1784
<i>Ding v. Ashcroft</i> , 387 F.3d 1131, 1135 n.4 (9th Cir. 2004)	1773
<i>Douglass v. United Services Auto. Ass'n</i> , 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996)	1814
<i>Dunn v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.</i> , 639 F.2d 1171, 1174 (5th Cir. 1981)	1814

E

<i>El-Masri v. United States</i> , 479 F.3d 296, 304 (4th Cir. 2007)	1807
<i>Elmahdi v. Marriot Hotel Services, Inc.</i> , 339 F.3d 645, 653 (8th Cir. 2003)	1798

F

<i>Firemen's Fund Ins. Co. v. Thien</i> , 63 F.3d 754, 761 (8th Cir. 1995)	1810
<i>Fruge v. Penrod Drilling Co.</i> , 918 F.2d 1163, 1168-69 (5th Cir. 1990)	1802

G

<i>Gen. Elec. Co. v. Joiner</i> , 522 U.S. 136, 141-43 (1997)	1820, 1822
<i>Georgis v. INS</i> , 328 F.3d 962, 969 (7th Cir. 2003)	1774
<i>Gray v. Busch Entertainment Corp.</i> , 886 F.2d 14, 16 (2d Cir. 1989)	1802
<i>Gray v. Maryland</i> , 523 U.S. 185, 118 (1998)	1770
<i>Greenwood v. FAA</i> , 28 F.3d 971, 977 (9th Cir. 1994)	1819

H

<i>Hal Roach Studios, Inc. v. Richard Feiner & Co.</i> , 896 F.2d 1542, 1551 (9th Cir. 1990)	1836
<i>Hammel v. Eau Galle Cheese Factory</i> , 407 F.3d 852, 869 (7th Cir.)	1753
<i>Harris v. Chand</i> , 506 F.3d 1135 (8th Cir. Nov. 13, 2007)	1798
<i>Hathaway v. Bazany</i> , 507 F.3d 312 (5th Cir. Nov. 1, 2007)	1813–1814
<i>Hicks v. Six Flags Over Mid-America</i> , 821 F.2d 1311, 1316 (8th Cir. 1987)	1766
<i>Hilao v. Estate of Marcos</i> , 103 F.3d 767, 776 (9th Cir. 1996)	1766
<i>Hodges v. Attorney General, State of Florida</i> , 506 F.3d 1337 (11th Cir. Nov. 9, 2007)	1783–1787
<i>Hudspeth v. Commissioner</i> , 914 F.2d 1207, 1213-14 (9th Cir. 1990)	1804

J

<i>J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc. v. Gen. Motors Corp.</i> , 243 F.3d 441, 444 (8th Cir. 2001)	1812
<i>Jiang v. Gonzales</i> , 474 F.3d 25, 29 (1st Cir. 2007)	1774
<i>Johnson v. Zerbst</i> , 304 U.S. 458, 464 (1938)	1785
<i>Journal Pub. Co. v. Mechem</i> , 801 F.2d 1233, 1236 (10th Cir. 1986)	1809

K

<i>Kasza v. Browner</i> , 133 F.3d 1159 (9th Cir. 1998)	1808
<i>Khan v. INS</i> , 237 F.3d 1143, 1144 (9th Cir. 2001)	1774
<i>Kona Tech. Corp. v. S. Pac. Transp. Co.</i> , 225 F.3d 595, 602 (5th Cir. 2000)	1790
<i>Kowalski v. Gagne</i> , 914 F.2d 299, 305 (1st Cir. 1990)	1794
<i>Kumho Tire Co., Ltd. v. Carmichael</i> , 526 U.S. 137, 147-49, 152 (1999)	1758, 1814

L

<i>Lin-Jian v. Gonzales</i> , 489 F.3d 182, 192 (4th Cir. 2007)	1774
<i>Liu v. Ashcroft</i> , 372 F.3d 529, 532-33 (3d Cir. 2004)	1774
<i>Lovejoy v. United States</i> , 92 F.3d 628, 632 (8th Cir. 1996)	1830

M

<i>Malek v. Federal Ins. Co.</i> , 994 F.2d 49, 54 (2d Cir. 1993)	1810
<i>Mathews v. Eldridge</i> , 424 U.S. 319, 333 (1976)	1777
<i>Menz v. New Holland North America, Inc.</i> , 507 F.3d 1107 (8th Cir. Nov. 14, 2007)	1811–1812
<i>Musser v. Gentiva Health Servs.</i> , 356 F.3d 751, 758 (7th Cir. 2004)	1753

N

<i>Navigant Consulting, Inc. v. Wilkinson</i> , 508 F.3d 277 (5th Cir. Nov. 15, 2007)	1788–1790, 1837
---	-----------------

O

<i>Ores v. Kirkwood</i> , 999 F.2d 86, 92 (4th Cir. 1993)	1836
<i>Orta-Castro v. Merck, Sharp & Dohme Quimica P.R., Inc.</i> , 447 F.3d 105, 110 (1st Cir. 2006)	1820

P

<i>Parker v. Reda</i> , 327 F.3d 211, 213 (2d Cir. 2003)	1832
<i>People of the Territory of Guam v. Ignacio</i> , 10 F.3d 608, 609, 614-15 (9th Cir. 1993)	1830

<i>Pfingston v. Ronan Eng'g Co.</i> , 284 F.3d 999, 1003-04 (9th Cir. 2002)	1836
<i>Phoenix Associates III v. Stone</i> , 60 F.3d 95, 102 (2d Cir. 1995)	1792
<i>Precision Piping and Instruments, Inc. v. E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Co.</i> , 951 F.2d 613, 619 (4th Cir. 1991)	1765
<i>Priester v. Vaughn</i> , 382 F.3d 394, 400-01 (3d Cir. 2004)	1781

R

<i>Ramada Development Co. v. Rauch</i> , 644 F.2d 1097, 1106 (5th Cir. 1981)	1804
<i>Richardson v. Marsh</i> , 481 U.S. 200, 206 (1987)	1770
<i>Rodriguez v. Pacificare of Texas, Inc.</i> , 980 F.2d 1014, 1019 (5th Cir. 1993)	1814
<i>Ronda-Perez v. Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria – Puerto Rico</i> , 404 F.3d 42, 47 (1st Cir. 2005)	1804
<i>Rothman v. Gregor</i> , 220 F.3d 81, 91 (2d Cir. 2000)	1794

S

<i>Scenic Holding, LLC v. New Board of Trustees of Tabernacle Missionary Baptist Church, Inc.</i> , 506 F.3d 625 (8th Cir. Nov. 6, 2007)	1803–1805
<i>Scheerer v. Hardee's Food Systems, Inc.</i> , 148 F.3d 1036, 1038 (8th Cir. 1998), <i>cert. denied</i> , 525 U.S. 1105 (1999)	1818
<i>Scheidt v. Klein</i> , 956 F.2d 963, 968 (10th Cir. 1992)	1814
<i>Senathirajah v. INS</i> , 157 F.3d 210, 215-16 (3d Cir. 1998)	1773
<i>Shanklin v. Norfolk Southern Railway Co.</i> , 369 F.3d 978, 991-92 (6th Cir. 2004)	1765, 1766
<i>Smith v. Cangietter</i> , 462 F.3d 920, 923 (8th Cir. 2006)	1812

T

<i>Tagatz v. Marquette Univ.</i> , 861 F.2d 1040, 1042 (7th Cir. 1988)	1814
<i>Territory of Guam v. Ignacio</i> , 10 F.3d 608, 613 (9th Cir. 1993)	1829
<i>Thanongsinh v. Board of Educ.</i> , 462 F.3d 762, 799 (7th Cir. 2006)	1764, 1765
<i>Torres-Arroyo v. Rullán</i> , 436 F.3d 1, 7 (1st Cir. 2006)	1819
<i>Transmission Agency of Northern California v. Sierra Pacific Power Co.</i> , 295 F.3d 918, 924 n.3 (9th Cir. 2002)	1794

U

<i>United States ex rel. Robinson Rancheria Citizens Council v. Borneo</i> , 971 F.2d 244, 248 (9th Cir. 1992)	1794
<i>United States v. Abboud</i> , 438 F.3d 554, 589 (6th Cir. 2006)	1752
<i>United States v. Akinkoye</i> , 185 F.3d 192, 198 (4th Cir. 1999)	1770
<i>United States v. Ammar</i> , 714 F.2d 238, 252 (3d Cir. 1983)	1825
<i>United States v. Anderson</i> , 452 F.3d 66, 77 (1st Cir. 2006)	1834
<i>United States v. Ayala-Pizarro</i> , 407 F.3d 25, 28 (1st Cir. 2005)	1753
<i>United States v. Baldwin</i> , 418 F.3d 575, 581 (6th Cir. 2005)	1816
<i>United States v. Barone</i> , 913 F.2d 46, 49 (2d Cir. 1990)	1834
<i>United States v. Barrow</i> , 400 F.3d 109, 124 (2d Cir. 2005)	1753, 1755
<i>United States v. Beasley</i> , 72 F.3d 1518, 1527 (11th Cir.), <i>cert. denied</i> , 519 U.S. 866 (1996)	1810
<i>United States v. Beaulieu</i> , 194 F.3d 918, 920 (8th Cir. 1999)	1828
<i>United States v. Belgarde</i> , 300 F.3d 1177, 1181 n.1 (9th Cir. 2002)	1819
<i>United States v. Beltran</i> , 165 F.3d 1266, 1271-72 (9th Cir.), <i>cert. denied</i> , 528 U.S. 881 (1999)	1802
<i>United States v. Benson</i> , 961 F.2d 707, 709 (8th Cir. 1992)	1832
<i>United States v. Bercier</i> , 506 F.3d 625 (8th Cir. Nov. 1, 2007)	1790, 1821, 1827, 1827–1831
<i>United States v. Bordeaux</i> , 400 F.3d 548, 557 (8th Cir. 2005)	1830
<i>United States v. Bridgeforth</i> , 441 F.3d 864, 869 n.1 (9th Cir. 2006)	1768
<i>United States v. Brown</i> , 500 F.3d 48, 58 (1st Cir. 2007)	1819
<i>United States v. Buchanan</i> , 70 F.3d 818, 833 n.19 (5th Cir. 1995)	1815
<i>United States v. Carson</i> , 455 F.3d 336, 363 n.22 (D.C. Cir. 2006)	1786
<i>United States v. Carter</i> , 901 F.2d 683, 684 (8th Cir. 1990)	1816

<i>United States v. Cash</i> , 394 F.3d 560, 564 (7th Cir. 2005)	1832
<i>United States v. Castillo</i> , 77 F.3d 1480, 1499 (5th Cir. 1996)	1754, 1762
<i>United States v. Castro</i> , 813 F.2d 571, 575-76 (2d Cir. 1987), <i>cert. denied</i> , 484 U.S. 844 (1987)	1791
<i>United States v. Catlett</i> , 97 F.3d 565, 571 (D.C. Cir. 1996)	1755
<i>United States v. Cherry</i> , 938 F.2d 748, 756 (7th Cir. 1991)	1830
<i>United States v. Collicott</i> , 92 F.3d 973, 983 (9th Cir. 1996)	1792
<i>United States v. Continental Group, Inc.</i> , 603 F.2d 444, 457 (3d Cir. 1979)	1826
<i>United States v. Cooper</i> , 243 F.3d 411, 415-16 (7th Cir. 2001)	1785
<i>United States v. Crawford</i> , 541 U.S. 36, 59, n. 9 (2004)	1778
<i>United States v. Cruz</i> , 363 F.3d 187, 193, 195 (2d Cir. 2004)	1754, 1756
<i>United States v. Cruz</i> , 910 F.2d 1072, 1081 (3d Cir. 1990)	1826
<i>United States v. Da Silva</i> , 725 F.2d 828, 831 (2d Cir. 1983)	1765
<i>United States v. Davis</i> , 357 F.3d 726, 729 (8th Cir. 2004), <i>cert. granted and remanded</i>	1800
<i>United States v. DeLeon</i> , 247 F.3d 593, 597 (5th Cir. 2001)	1834
<i>United States v. Delgado</i> , 401 F.3d 290, 299 (5th Cir.2005)	1768
<i>United States v. De Soto</i> , 885 F.2d 354, 360-61 (7th Cir. 1989)	1760, 1761
<i>United States v. Devous</i> , 764 F.2d 1349, 1355 (10th Cir. 1985)	1778
<i>United States v. Dierling</i> , 131 F.3d 722, 730-31 (8th Cir. 1997), <i>cert. denied</i> , 523 U.S. 1066 (1998)	1799
<i>United States v. Doe</i> , 149 F.3d 634, 636 (7th Cir. 1998)	1816
<i>United States v. Dohan</i> , ___ F.3d ___ (11th Cir. Nov. 28, 2007)	1797, 1809–1811
<i>United States v. Dukagjini</i> , 326 F.3d 45, 53-54 (2d Cir. 2003)	1753, 1755, 1756, 1757, 1758, 1761
<i>United States v. Dulcio</i> , 441 F.3d 1269, 1275 (11th Cir. 2006)	1762
<i>United States v. Duncan</i> , 919 F.2d 981, 986-87 (5th Cir. 1990)	1765
<i>United States v. Dunkel</i> , 927 F.2d 955, 956 (7th Cir. 1991)	1819
<i>United States v. Dupre</i> , 462 F.3d 131, 136 (2d Cir. 2006)	1832, 1834
<i>United States v. Earle</i> , 488 F.3d 537, 542 (1st Cir. 2007)	1782
<i>United States v. Elder</i> , 90 F.3d 1110, 1118 (6th Cir. 1996)	1819
<i>United States v. Feliciano</i> , 223 F.3d 23 102, 120, 121 (2d Cir. 2000)	1754, 1755, 1760, 1761
<i>United States v. Figueroa-Lopez</i> , 125 F.3d 1241, 1246 (9th Cir. 1997), <i>cert. denied</i> , 523 U.S. 1131 (1998)	1752, 1762
<i>United States v. Flores</i> , 628 F.2d 521, 523-26 (9th Cir. 1980), <i>cert. denied</i> , 459 U.S. 1148 (1983)	1765
<i>United States v. Flores</i> , 679 F.2d 173, 178 (9th Cir. 1982), <i>cert. denied</i> , 459 U.S. 1148 (1983)	1765
<i>United States v. Foster</i> , 939 F.2d 445, 452 (7th Cir. 1991)	1756
<i>United States v. Foster</i> , 939 F.2d 445, 453 (7th Cir. 1991)	1759, 1760
<i>United States v. Fragoso</i> , 978 F.2d 896, 890-91 (5th Cir. 1992), <i>cert. denied</i> , 507 U.S. 1012 (1993)	1826
<i>United States v. Freeman</i> , 498 F.3d 893, 903, 904 (9th Cir. 2007)	1754, 1755, 1756, 1757, 1758, 1760, 1761, 1762
<i>United States v. Gabe</i> , 237 F.3d 954, 957-59 (8th Cir. 2001)	1828
<i>United States v. Ganier</i> , 468 F.3d 920, 922 (6th Cir. 2006)	1753
<i>United States v. Garcia</i> , 86 F.3d 394, 400 (5th Cir. 1996)	1815
<i>United States v. Garrett</i> , 716 F.3d 257, 272 (5th Cir. 1983)	1792
<i>United States v. Glover</i> , 479 F.3d 511, 519 (7th Cir. 2007)	1760
<i>United States v. Golden</i> , 532 F.2d 1244, 1247-48 (9th Cir. 1976)	1757
<i>United States v. Gonzalez-Balderas</i> , 11 F.3d 1218, 1224 (5th Cir. 1994)	1826
<i>United States v. Goodwin</i> , 496 F.3d 636, 642 (7th Cir. 2007)	1759, 1760
<i>United States v. Griffin</i> , 84 F.3d 912, 924 (7th Cir. 1996)	1785
<i>United States v. Gutierrez-Farias</i> , 294 F.3d 657, 662 (5th Cir. 2002)	1816
<i>United States v. Hall</i> , 500 F.3d 439, 444 (5th Cir. 2007)	1789
<i>United States v. Hamilton</i> , 334 F.3d 170, 186 (2d Cir. 2003)	1834
<i>United States v. Hargrove</i> , 508 F.3d 445 (7th Cir. Nov. 27, 2007)	1779, 1821–1822, 1824
<i>United States v. Hendricks</i> , 395 F.3d 173, 183-84 n.9 (3d Cir. 2005)	1768
<i>United States v. Hernandez-Cuartas</i> , 717 F.2d 552, 555 (11th Cir. 1983)	1816

<i>United States v. Iron Shell</i> , 633 F.2d 77, 85 (8th Cir. 1980), <i>cert. denied</i> , 450 U.S. 1001 (1981)	1830
<i>United States v. Iron Shell</i> , 633 F.2d 77, 86 (8th Cir. 1980), <i>cert. denied</i> , 450 U.S. 1001(1981)	1828
<i>United States v. Jackson</i> , 180 F.3d 55, 73 (2d Cir. 1999)	1791, 1793
<i>United States v. Jacques</i> , 345 F.3d 960, 962 (7th Cir. 2003)	1768
<i>United States v. Jaimes-Jaimes</i> , 406 F.3d 845, 847 (7th Cir. 2005)	1768
<i>United States v. Jenkins</i> , 419 F.3d 614, 618 (7th Cir. 2005)	1768
<i>United States v. Jenkins</i> , 785 F.2d 1387, 1393 (9th Cir. 1986)	1822
<i>United States v. Johnson</i> , 169 F.3d 1092, 1096-97 (8th Cir. 1999)	1800
<i>United States v. Johnson</i> , 440 F.3d 832, 842 (6th Cir.), <i>cert. denied</i> , 127 S.Ct. 48 (2006)	1771
<i>United States v. Johnson</i> , 46 F.3d 1166, 1171 (D.C. Cir. 1995)	1802
<i>United States v. Johnson</i> , 507 F.3d 793 (2d Cir. Nov. 14, 2007)	1791–1793
<i>United States v. Jones</i> , 913 F.2d 174, 177 (4th Cir. 1990)	1816
<i>United States v. Kappell</i> , 418 F.3d 550, 556-57 (6th Cir. 2005)	1829
<i>United States v. Knohl</i> , 379 F.2d 427, 440 (2d Cir. 1967)	1834
<i>United States v. Lane</i> , 474 U.S. 438, 450 (1986)	1802
<i>United States v. Lee</i> , 374 F.3d 637, 644 (8th Cir. 2004)	1768
<i>United States v. Leggett</i> , 162 F.3d 237, 249-50 (3d Cir. 1998)	1785
<i>United States v. Lightfoot</i> , 224 F.3d 586, 588-89 (7th Cir. 2000), <i>cert. denied</i> , 532 U.S. 976 (2001)	1754
<i>United States v. Lipscomb</i> , 14 F.3d 1236, 1242, 1243 (7th Cir. 1994)	1756, 1759
<i>United States v. Logan</i> , 210 F.3d 820, 821-23 (8th Cir. 2000)	1770
<i>United States v. Lombardozzi</i> , 491 F.3d 61, 78-79 (2d Cir. 2007)	1803
<i>United States v. Lopez-Medina</i> , 461 F.3d 724, 743-45 (6th Cir. 2006)	1762
<i>United States v. Lui</i> , 941 F.2d 844, 847 (9th Cir. 1991)	1816
<i>United States v. Lung Fong Chen</i> , 393 F.3d 139, 148 (2d Cir. 2004)	1781
<i>United States v. Mansoori</i> , 304 F.3d 635, 654-55 (7th Cir. 2002), <i>cert. denied</i> , 538 U.S. 967 (2003)	1756, 1759, 1760
<i>United States v. Martinez</i> , 430 F.3d 317, 329 (6th Cir. 2005)	1768
<i>United States v. Martinez</i> , 476 F.3d 961, 966 (D.C. Cir. 2007)	1786
<i>United States v. Maxwell</i> , 383 F.2d 437, 441-43 (2d Cir. 1967)	1778
<i>United States v. Maynie</i> , 257 F.3d 908, 916 (8th Cir. 2001)	1800
<i>United States v. McClellan</i> , 165 F.3d 535, 541-42 (7th Cir. 1999)	1826
<i>United States v. McIver</i> , 470 F.3d 550, 562-63 (4th Cir. 2006)	1789
<i>United States v. McKeon</i> , 738 F.2d 26, 27-34 (2nd Cir. 1984)	1764
<i>United States v. McLennan</i> , 563 F.2d 943, 947-948 (9th Cir. 1977), <i>cert. denied</i> , 435 U.S. 969 (1978)	1802
<i>United States v. Melecio-Rodriguez</i> , 231 F.3d 1091, 1094 (8th Cir. 2000), <i>cert. denied</i> , 532 U.S. 1024 (2001)	1789
<i>United States v. Melvin</i> , 91 F.3d 1218, 1224-25 (9th Cir. 1996)	1819
<i>United States v. Mendoza</i> , 236 Fed. Appx. 371, 384 (10th Cir. 2007)	1754
<i>United States v. Miller</i> , 806 F.2d 223, 225 (10th Cir. 1986)	1808
<i>United States v. Montano</i> , 506 F.3d 1128 (8th Cir. Nov. 9, 2007)	1795, 1799–1800
<i>United States v. Moore</i> , 997 F.2d 55, 58 (5th Cir. 1993)	1754
<i>United States v. Noe</i> , 411 F.3d 878, 887 (8th Cir. 2005)	1800
<i>United States v. O'Connor</i> , 737 F.2d 814, 820-21 (9th Cir. 1984)	1822
<i>United States v. Olano</i> , 507 U.S. 725, 732-35 (1993)	1762, 1768, 1785, 1811
<i>United States v. Ortega</i> , 203 F.3d 675, 682 (9th Cir. 2000)	1792
<i>United States v. Ortiz-Martinez</i> , 1 F.3d 662, 673 (8th Cir. 1993)	1826
<i>United States v. Palmer</i> , 691 F.2d 921, 923 (9th Cir. 1982)	1802
<i>United States v. Parra</i> , 402 F.3d 752, 760 (7th Cir. 2005)	1760
<i>United States v. Peneaux</i> , 432 F.3d 882, 893-94 (8th Cir. 2005)	1830

<i>United States v. Penny</i> , 60 F.2d 1257, 1265 (7th Cir. 1995)	1754
<i>United States v. Peoples</i> , 250 F.3d 630, 639 (8th Cir. 2001)	1752
<i>United States v. Pitre</i> , 960 F.2d 1112, 1119 (2d Cir. 1992)	1803
<i>United States v. Quinn</i> , 18 F.3d 1461, 1466 (9th Cir.), <i>cert. denied</i> , 512 U.S. 1242 (1994)	1802
<i>United States v. Ramirez</i> , 348 F.3d 1175, 1181 (10th Cir. 2003)	1762
<i>United States v. Ramirez</i> , 894 F.2d 565, 568-70 (2d Cir. 1990)	1803
<i>United States v. Renville</i> , 779 F.2d 430, 436, 438 (8th Cir. 1985)	1829, 1830
<i>United States v. Reyes</i> , 798 F.2d 380, 384-85 (10th Cir. 1986)	1826
<i>United States v. Reynolds</i> , 345 U.S. 1, 10 (1953)	1805
<i>United States v. Rice</i> , 449 F.3d 887, 895 (8th Cir. 2006)	1765
<i>United States v. Rivera</i> , 43 F.3d 1291, 1296 (9th Cir. 1994)	1830
<i>United States v. Rivera</i> , 971 F.3d 876, 887-88 (2d Cir. 1992)	1754, 1759
<i>United States v. Rivera-Rosario</i> , 300 F.3d 1, 17-18 (1st Cir. 2002)	1759
<i>United States v. Rodriguez-Duran</i> , 507 F.3d 749 (1st Cir. Nov. 21, 2007)	1780-1782, 1787
<i>United States v. Rommy</i> , 506 F.3d 108 (2d Cir. Nov. 5, 2007)	1777-1779, 1780, 1831-1833, 1833-1835
<i>United States v. Ross</i> , 33 F.3d 1507, 1514 n. 10 (11th Cir. 1994)	1778
<i>United States v. Saget</i> , 377 F.3d 223, 224-25 (2d Cir. 2004)	1768
<i>United States v. Sanchez-Godinez</i> , 444 F.3d 957, 961 (8th Cir. 2006)	1766
<i>United States v. Sanchez-Hernandez</i> , 507 F.3d 826 (5th Cir. Nov. 5, 2007)	1814-1817
<i>United States v. Sanders</i> , 749 F.2d 195, 198 (5th Cir. 1984)	1766
<i>United States v. Schoenborn</i> , 4 F.3d 1424, 1427-28 (7th Cir. 1993)	1832
<i>United States v. Segines</i> , 17 F.3d 847, 855 (6th Cir. 1994)	1778
<i>United States v. Shellef</i> , 507 F.3d 82 (2d Cir. Nov. 20, 2007)	1801-1803
<i>United States v. Simmons</i> , 923 F.2d 934, 946-947 n.5 (2d Cir. 1991)	1757
<i>United States v. Simpson</i> , 152 F.3d 1241, 1250-51 (10th Cir. 1998)	1759, 1760, 1762
<i>United States v. Sokolow</i> , 91 F.3d 396, 402 (3d Cir. 1996)	1765
<i>United States v. Solis</i> , 923 F.3d 548, 551 (7th Cir. 1991)	1752
<i>United States v. Soures</i> , 736 F.2d 87, 91 (3d Cir. 1984)	1792
<i>United States v. Spriggs</i> , 996 F.2d 320, 325 (D.C. Cir. 1993)	1762
<i>United States v. Stewart</i> , 485 F.3d 666, 670 (2d Cir. 2007)	1786
<i>United States v. Taylor</i> , 186 F.3d 1332, 1337 (11th Cir. 1999)	1782
<i>United States v. Thevis</i> , 665 F.2d 616, 630 (5th Cir. 1982)	1785
<i>United States v. Thomas</i> , 74 F.3d 676, 682 (6th Cir.), <i>cert. denied</i> , 517 U.S. 1162 (1996)	1754, 1756, 1759, 1761
<i>United States v. Thomas</i> , 74 F.3d 682-83)	1754
<i>United States v. Thomas</i> , 896 F.2d 589, 590-91 (D.C. Cir. 1990)	1762
<i>United States v. Thuna</i> , 786 F.3d 437, 744 n.7 (1st Cir. 1986)	1792
<i>United States v. Tocco</i> , 200 F.3d 401, 418, 419 (6th Cir. 1996)	1754, 1759, 1761
<i>United States v. Tropeano</i> , 252 F.3d 653, 661 (2d Cir.2001)	1834
<i>United States v. Van Sach</i> , 458 F.3d 694, 701 (7th Cir. 2006)	1768
<i>United States v. Vasilakos</i> , 508 F.3d 401 (6th Cir. Nov. 21, 2007)	1769-1771, 1783, 1796, 1821-1822
<i>United States v. Vega Molina</i> , 407 F.3d 511, 520, 522 (1st Cir. 2005)	1781
<i>United States v. Vejar-Urias</i> , 165 F.3d 337, 340 (5th Cir. 1999)	1782
<i>United States v. Veltmann</i> , 6 F.3d 1483, 1499-1500 (11th Cir. 1993)	1822
<i>United States v. Verduzco-Martinez</i> , 186 F.3d 1208, 1214 (10th Cir. 1999)	1770
<i>United States v. Warren</i> , 42 F.3d 647, 656 (D.C. Cir. 1994)	1789
<i>United States v. Washington</i> , 592 F.2d 680, 681 (2d Cir. 1979)	1802
<i>United States v. Weaver</i> , 507 F.3d 178 (3d Cir. Nov. 7, 2007)	1796, 1824-1826
<i>United States v. Westmoreland</i> , 312 F.3d 302, 310-11 (7th Cir. 2002)	1834
<i>United States v. White</i> , 492 F.3d 380, 403-07 (6th Cir. 2007)	1753

<i>United States v. Williams</i> , 81 F.3d 1434, 1442 (7th Cir. 1996)	1823
<i>United States v. Williams</i> , 957 F.2d 1238, 1242 (5th Cir. 1992)	1815, 1816
<i>United States v. Wilson</i> , 115 F.3d 1185, 1188 (4th Cir. 1997)	1834
<i>United States v. Wilson</i> , 134 F.3d 855, 863 (7th Cir. 1998)	1823
<i>United States v. Wilson</i> , 484 F.3d 267, 278 n.5 (4th Cir. 2007)	1756, 1759, 1760
<i>United States v. Workinger</i> , 90 F.3d 1409, 1415-16 (9th Cir. 1996)	1778
<i>United States v. Wright</i> , 506 F.3d 1293 (10th Cir. Nov. 6, 2007)	1808–1809
<i>United States v. Young</i> , 745 F.2d 733, 760 (2d Cir. 1984)	1754
<i>United States v. Zannino</i> , 895 F.2d 1, 17 (1st Cir. 1990), <i>cert. denied</i> , 494 U.S. 1082 (1990)	1818, 1819
<i>United v. Ward</i> , 377 F.3d 671, 676-77 (7th Cir. 2004)	1782
V	
<i>Vatyan v. Mukasey</i> , ___ F.3d ___ (9th Cir. Nov. 27, 2007)	1772–1774, 1835
W	
<i>Wolff v. Brown</i> , 128 F.3d 682, 684 (8th Cir. 1997)	1798
Y	
<i>Yan v. Gonzales</i> , 438 F.3d 1249, 1256 n.7 (10th Cir. 2006)	1774